

Message

From: Beck, Nancy [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=168ECB5184AC44DE95A913297F353745-BECK, NANCY]
Sent: 10/24/2017 1:21:24 PM
To: Jackson, Ryan [jackson.ryan@epa.gov]
CC: Bowman, Liz [Bowman.Liz@epa.gov]; Baptist, Erik [baptist.erik@epa.gov]; Wilcox, Jahan [wilcox.jahan@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: UPDATED FOR APPROVAL; Response to Daily Caller re Lipton Piece

Has anyone listened to the NYtimes podcast Wendy did? When I spoke to her in the morning she was equivocal about whether she would speak to him.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Deputy Assistant Administrator, OCSPP
P: 202-564-1273

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Beck.Nancy@epa.gov

On Oct 24, 2017, at 9:01 AM, Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov> wrote:

For future reference here's a line from the final rule which I think helps explain the legacy use and whatever other uses.

It's true that generally EPA wants to only focus on chemicals actually in commercial use for what risk and what exposure they pose to safety, but I think this cite from the final rule is helpful to address legacy uses or whatever uses of a chemical for its risk evaluation under the Lautenberg law:

EPA will "always include evaluations of conditions of use that raised the greatest potential for risk." 82 Fed Reg 3728.

If there's risk whether an old use or new, raise it, and EPA will include it in the evaluation of how we act, prioritize, regulate.

From: Jackson, Ryan
Sent: Sunday, October 22, 2017 12:06 PM
To: Bowman, Liz <[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto: Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)>
Cc: Wilcox, Jahan <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: UPDATED FOR APPROVAL; Response to Daily Caller re Lipton Piece

I disagree. Just give it a little longer. You shouldn't believe you did something wrong because Anne said something one way or other people provided quotes in a particular way. This is a guy who got awarded for going after SP and has a way of doing it he thinks works despite what's actually correct. Your characterization of that was correct in your quote and without it out there no one knows that or would know that except he and us behind closed doors.

Ryan Jackson
Chief of Staff
U.S. EPA

On Oct 22, 2017, at 10:21 AM, Bowman, Liz <Bowman.Liz@epa.gov> wrote:

DRAFT – DELIBRATIVE – NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION – INTERNAL ONLY

Apologies for the multiple emails on this – Jahan and I discussed offline and we decided that we shouldn't respond to this. If we do end up getting a statement with a quote from Wendy, we will send that. Otherwise, we are going to decline to comment further.

For attribution:

We assure you that the email posted was not my official response, nor was it the only communication we had with this reporter. We are always happy to provide answers to questions about policy decisions, ongoing work or issues that arise. We have, and continue, to answer many questions from reporters and the public about the role that EPA plays to assess the safety of chemicals in the marketplace

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Bowman, Liz

Sent: Saturday, October 21, 2017 7:06 PM

To: Jahan Wilcox (wilcox.jahan@epa.gov) <wilcox.jahan@epa.gov>; Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov>; Samantha Dravis (dravis.samantha@epa.gov) <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>

Cc: Lincoln Ferguson (ferguson.lincoln@epa.gov) <ferguson.lincoln@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <baptist.erik@epa.gov>; Beck, Nancy <beck.nancy@epa.gov>

Subject: FOR APPROVAL; Response to Daily Caller re Lipton Piece

DRAFT – DELIBRATIVE – NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION – INTERNAL ONLY

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Chris White [mailto:chris@dailycallernewsfoundation.org]

Sent: Saturday, October 21, 2017 5:45 PM

To: Bowman, Liz <Bowman.Liz@epa.gov>

Subject: NYT Eric Lipton on chemical regs

Hello, Liz

Christopher White, energy and environmental reporter at The Daily Caller News Foundation.

I stumbled on this [piece today](#) from the The New York Time's Eric Lipton. His report leans into the EPA considerably about the appointment of Nancy Beck to the agency's chemical regulations unit.

In particular, he notes that Beck was instrumental toward shifting the EPA's stance on some toxic chemicals. Lipton included a quote from you blasting him and TheNYT in general for their bias reporting on this subject and others.

Lipton also indicated in the article that you refused to explain why the agency is proposing to lift bans on certain pesticides. Curious if Lipton is giving us all the information here.

What is you and the EPA's response to the piece? Is the information complete or is missing several crucial pieces of the puzzle?

Thanks,

--

Christopher White
Energy Reporter



1050 17th Street NW, Suite 900
Washington DC 20036
chris@dailycallernewsfoundation.org
[@zanderkelly30](#)
[\(816\)935-5763](tel:(816)935-5763)